

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/080,528	02/22/2002	Kunio Harada	Q68626 8651			
7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			JENKINS, JERMAINE L			
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue, NW C. 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
washington, 2	0 2000, 0210		2855	2855		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/080,52	28	HARADA, KUNIO				
		Examiner		Art Unit	11/1			
		Jermaine		2855	MY			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ TI	nis action is no	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,10,11 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7,9,12 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
•	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)								
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:								
10 0-11	Imdomed Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/080,528

" Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 10 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al (5,167,094) in view of Pennells (3,999,429).

In regards to claims 1, 3, 10 & 14, Wild et al teaches a lateral force measuring device for a tire (10) having a rotator (22, wherein the drum reads as a rotator) that rotates axially depending on the rotation of the tire (10) and force measurement devices (30(1), 30(2)) positioned along the drum axle (28) conveying corresponding signals to the computer (32) (Column 5, lines 4-18), and the use of measuring devices (30(1), 30(2)) positioned along the drum axle (28) conveying corresponding signals due to the rotation of the drum (22) (Column 5, lines 4-18). However, Wild et al does not teach the moving load can be either of both a tensile load and a compression load.

Pennells teaches a tire testing apparatus having a plurality of transducers measuring both compression and tension forces on the tire (Column 1, line 64 - Column 2, line 1). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide tensile and compression sensing functionality of Pennells in the tire sensing apparatus of

Application/Control Number: 10/080,528

Art Unit: 2855

Wild et al for the purpose of accurately measuring the forces resulting when braking forces are applied to a tire under test.

Claims 2 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al in view of Shibayama et al (5,483,823).

With respect to claims 2 & 11, Wild et al teaches the claimed except for rotation of one of a pair of pressed wheels. Shibayama et al discloses the rotation of the pair of front tires pressed against rollers (Column 4, line 65 – Column 5, line 10, Figures 1 & 7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotate one of a pair of pressed wheels as shown by Shibayama et al in the system of Wild et al since the calculation of a pair of wheels would enhance the accuracy of the inspection of the rotated tires.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-7, 9, 12 & 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 10, 11 & 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

-- 'Art Unit: 2855

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermaine Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-305-3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 703-305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-306-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Jermaine Jenkins

A.U. 2855

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800